STATS 207: Time Series Analysis Autumn 2020

Lecture 20: Course Recap; High-Dimensional Data, DeepAR, and Vest.

Dr. Alon Kipnis November 20th 2020

- Final Assessment is Due Friday at 11:59 PM.
- Course feedback evaluation on Axes.

Course Recap

List of Topics, I

- 1. Introduction:
 - Examples of time series and time series models
 - Theoretical constructs:
 - Mean function
 - Autocovariance and autocorrelation functions (ACF)
 - Cross-covariance and cross-correlation function (CCF)
 - Stationarity and joint stationarity
 - Sample ACF and CCF, Confidence limits
 - Classical Regression:
 - LS solution
 - *t*-test for regression parameters
 - Competing models, explainable variance, F-test
 - Coefficient of determination (R²)
 - Periodogram as explainable variance of sinusoids
 - Data wrangling, trend models, and data smoothing
 - Detrending via a trend model
 - Detrending via differencing
 - Log and power transformations
 - Smoothing (moving average, kernel, loess, smoothing splines)

- 2. ARMA Modeling:
 - AR and MA
 - Causality and invertibility
 - Forecasting in ARMA models
 - Estimating ARMA parameters (Yule-walker, ML, conditional LS)
 - ARIMA
 - SARIMA
 - Model diagnostics (Ljung-Box)
 - Regression with autocorrelated errors
 - Lagged Regression (using transfer function modeling)
 - Volatility models: ARCH and GARCH

List of Topics, III

- $3. \ {\rm Spectral} \ {\rm Analysis}$
 - Periodogram
 - Spectral density (meaning of the term 'white noise')
 - Linear filtering and spectrum
 - Spectral estimation: smoothing the periodogram
 - Cross-spectrum and coherency
 - Frequency-domain regression (coherency, competing models)
 - Spectral principal components
- $4. \ {\sf State-space modeling}$
 - State-space equations
 - State estimation (Kalman filter and smoother)
 - Estimating state-space models (ML and EM)
 - Seasonal decomposition
 - State-space models with switching (stochastic volatility)
 - Bayesian analysis of state-space models

High-Dimensional Data

Dataset I: traffic

- Occupancy rate (between 0 and 1) of 172 different car lanes of the San Francisco bay area freeways across time.
- 15 months worth of hourly data.
- Source: California Department of Transportation,

www.pems.dot.ca.gov.

summary(traffic %>% select(id, values, sensor_day, time_on_day))

id	values	sensor_day	<pre>time_on_day</pre>
Min. :400000	Min. :0.00000	Min. : 0.00	Min. : 0.0
1st Qu.:400485	1st Qu.:0.02190	1st Qu.: 43.00	1st Qu.: 6.0
Median :400991	Median :0.04638	Median : 86.00	Median :12.0
Mean :401018	Mean :0.05296	Mean : 86.02	Mean :11.5
3rd Qu.:401580	3rd Qu.:0.07053	3rd Qu.:129.00	3rd Qu.:18.0
Max. :402090	Max. :1.00000	Max. :172.00	Max. :23.0
hours_from_start			
Min. : 1			
1st Qu.:1038			
Median :2076			
Mean :2076			
3rd Qu.:3114			
Max. :4151			





Daily unit sales per product and store:

- x_{ti} is the number of items of product *i* sold at day *t*.
- i = 1,..., 30490: 3049 different products across 10 different stores
 = 30490 unique (product_id,store_id)
- $t = 1, \dots, 1941$ (5.3 years).
- M5 competition: require 28-days-ahead forecast (https://www.kaggle.com/c/m5-forecasting-accuracy)

summary(sales)

	id				<pre>cat_id</pre>		store	_id
F00DS_1_001	_CA_1_eva	luation	: 1	L	FOODS	:14370	CA_1	: 3049
F00DS_1_001	_CA_2_eva	luation	: 1	L	HOBBIES	: 5650	CA_2	: 3049
F00DS_1_001	_CA_3_eva	luation	: 1	L	HOUSEHOLD	:10470	CA_3	: 3049
F00DS_1_001	_CA_4_eva	luation	: 1	L			CA_4	: 3049
F00DS_1_001	_TX_1_eva	luation	: 1	L			TX_1	: 3049
F00DS_1_001	_TX_2_eva	luation	: 1	L			TX_2	: 3049
(Other)		:	:30484	Ł			(Other):12196
state_id	d_1			d_2		d	3	
CA:12196	Min. :	0.00	Min.	:	0.000	Min.	: 0.00	
TX: 9147	1st Qu.:	0.00	1st G)u.:	0.000	1st Qu.	: 0.00	
WI: 9147	Median :	0.00	Media	in :	0.000	Median	: 0.00	
	Mean :	1.07	Mean	:	1.041	Mean	: 0.78	
	3rd Qu.:	0.00	3rd G)u.:	0.000	3rd Qu.	: 0.00	
	Max. :3	60.00	Max.	:	436.000	Max.	:207.00	



sales - By Product



name

- FOODS 1 001 CA 4 evaluation
- FOODS 3 800 WI 3 evaluation
- HOBBIES_1_005_CA_1_evaluation
- HOBBIES_1_054_CA_1_evaluation
- HOUSEHOLD 1 004 CA 1 evalu
- HOUSEHOLD_2_487_WI_3_evalu

Challenges

• The standard task is **prediction**:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+m}^t = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+m}^t(\boldsymbol{y}_{1:t}).$$

• Prediction can be assisted by regression over **exogenous features series**:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+m}^t = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{t+m}^t (\boldsymbol{y}_{1:t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1:t}).$$

Example: Calendar data

 $\mathbf{x}_{t} = (\texttt{hour_in_day}_{t}, \texttt{day_of_week}_{t}, \texttt{month_in_year}_{t}, \texttt{holiday}_{t})'$.

- Special properties:
 - Dependencies between many scalar time series.
 - Sparsity.
 - Discrete variables.

• Notable Techniques

- Dimensionality reduction using principal component analysis.
- Large regression models. Holdout data for validation and testing.

DeepAR

DeepAR: Probabilistic Forecasting with Autoregressive Recurrent Networks

David Salinas, Valentin Flunkert, Jan Gasthaus Amazon Research Germany <dsalina,flunkert,gasthaus@amazon.com>

Abstract

Probabilistic forecasting, i.e. estimating the probability distribution of a time series' future given its past, is a key enabler for optimizing business processes. In ratial businesses, for avanuel, forecasting domaid is crucial for buying the right

DeepAR – Model

- Data:
 - (\boldsymbol{z}_t) is a vector time series, known for $j=1,\ldots,t$
 - (x_t) is a vector time series of **covariates**, known for all t = 1, ..., T.
- Goal: Model one-step-ahead posterior (or more) given the past

$$\Pr(z_{t+1}|\boldsymbol{z}_{1:t}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1:T})$$

• Method: Suppose

$$\Pr(z_{t+1}|\boldsymbol{z}_{1:t},\boldsymbol{x}_{1:T}) = \ell(z_{t+1}|\theta(\boldsymbol{h}_{t+1}))$$

where

- ℓ is a likelihood function with parameters θ .
- $\theta_{i,t} \equiv \theta(\mathbf{h}_{i,t})$ depends on the output of a recurrent neural network

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = h_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t)$$

 $(h_{\Theta}$ is a multi-layer recurrent neural network with LSTM cells)

• Example: Gaussian likelihood

$$\ell_G(\boldsymbol{z}|\boldsymbol{\mu},\sigma) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{(\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^2}{2\sigma^2}},$$
$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{h}_t) = \boldsymbol{w}'_{\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{h}_t + b_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}, \qquad \sigma^2 = \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{h}_t) = f(\boldsymbol{w}'_{\sigma} \boldsymbol{h}_t + b_{\sigma}).$$

• Example: Negative binomial likelihood

$$\ell_{NB}(z|\mu,\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(z+\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\Gamma(z+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{\alpha})} \left(\frac{1}{1+\alpha\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha\mu}{1+\alpha\mu}\right)^{z},$$
$$\mu = \mu(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}_{\mu}'\boldsymbol{h}_{t} + b_{\mu}), \qquad \alpha = \alpha(\boldsymbol{h}_{t}) = f(\boldsymbol{w}_{\alpha}'\boldsymbol{h}_{t} + b_{\alpha}).$$

• Multilayer recurrent neural network with LSTM cells:



Model Estimation (training)

• Assume: We have many past+future data instances:

$$(z_{i,1:t+1})_{i=1,...,N}, (x_{i,1:T})_{i=1,...,N}.$$



• Log-likelihood

$$L(\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \ell \left(z_{i,t+1} | \theta(\boldsymbol{h}_{i,t'+1}; \Theta) \right)$$

• Minimize $L(\Theta)$ using stochastic gradient descent.

• Take many length-(t' + 1) windows from available data:

$$\mathbf{z}_{i,1:p+1} = \{z_{i+1}, \ldots, z_{i+t'}\}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, t - t'.$$

• Log-Likelihood:

$$L(\Theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \ell \left(z_{i,t'+1} | \theta(\boldsymbol{h}_{i,t'+1}; \Theta) \right)$$

Note: Network weights Θ do not depend on time (likelihood parameters θ do depend on time).

• Implicit stationarity assumptions!

Prediction



DeepAR – Examples

• Sales prediction:



• Observation Equation:

$$z_t = \mu(\boldsymbol{h}_t) + v_t, \qquad R = \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{h}_t).$$

(linear in h_t ; state-dependent heteroscedasticity)

• State Dynamics:

$$\boldsymbol{h}_t = h_{\Theta} \left(\boldsymbol{h}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{z}_{t-1}, \boldsymbol{x}_t \right).$$

(non-linear state-dynamics)

- In essence, the main innovation is a multilayer LSTM modeling of state-dynamics.
- Called-upon comparison: DeepAR vs. a linear state-space model. Can multilayer LSTM improve over a linear model?

Advantages (according to the authors):

nethods: (i) As the model learns seasonal behavior and dependencies on given covariates across time series, minimal manual feature engineering is needed to capture complex, group-dependent behavior; (ii) DeepAR makes probabilistic forecasts in the form of Monte Carlo sam-

ing the scale-free nature (approximately straight line) present in the ec dataset (axis labels omitted due to the nonpublic nature of the data).

ples that can be used to compute consistent quantile estimates for all sub-ranges in the prediction horizon; (iii) By learning from similar items, our method is able to provide forecasts for items with little or no history at all, a case where traditional single-item forecasting methods fail; (vi) Our approach does not assume Gaussian noise, but can incorporate a wide range of likelihood functions, allowing the user to choose one that is appropriate for the statistical properties of the data.

In fact:

- ${\scriptstyle (I)}\,$ Main benefit: Model automatically learns complicated connections.
- (II) Not a **distinguishing feature** of DeepAR.
- $({\rm III})\,$ A feature of the state-space/vector formulation.
- $({\rm {\scriptscriptstyle IV}})~$ Not unique to DeepAR (Generalized linear model formulation).

VEST

arXiv.org > stat > arXiv:2010.07137

Statistics > Machine Learning

[Submitted on 14 Oct 2020]

VEST: Automatic Feature Engineering for Forecasting

Vitor Cerqueira, Nuno Moniz, Carlos Soares

Time series forecasting is a challenging task with applications in a wide range of domains. Auto-regression is one of the most common approaches to address these problems. Accordingly, observations are modelled by multiple regression using their past lags as predictor variables. We investigate the extension of auto-regressive processes using statistics which summarise the recent past dynamics of time series. The result of our research is a novel framework called VEST, designed to perform feature engineering using univariate and numeric time series automatically. The proposed approach works in three main steps. First, recent observations are mapped onto different representations. Second, each representation is summarised by statistical functions. Finally, a filter is applied for feature selection. We discovered that combining the features generated by VEST with auto-regression significantly improves forecasting performance. We provide evidence using 90 time series with high campling foreancery. VEST is multicly available nomes.

- Map recent observations to many different features.
- Use these features for prediction.
- Develop a procedure as **automatic** as possible.
- Advantage of feature-based inference over end-to-end approaches: interpretability.

VEST – Feature Engineering Workflow



- $T_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is the *j*-th **transformation** of X_i (e.g., diff)
- $S_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{q_j}$ is the *j*-th summary of \boldsymbol{X}_i (e.g., mean, max, $\overline{\text{ACF}}$)

Table 1: Transform operations used in VEST.

Operation	Description
I	The Identity transformation, in which each X is mapped onto itself
SMA	We apply a one-sided simple moving average which can be beneficial to
	smooth out spurious fluctuations and highlight the general trend. The
	number of periods is equal to the square root of the length of X , rounded
	to the nearest unit
DIFF	First differences are applied to transform the original embedding vector
	into one without trend. This transformation can help with the modelling
	of time series with a strong trend component
DIFF2	Second differences, which is equivalent to applying the DIFF operation
	twice to X_i . This transformation is useful for describing the curvature of
	the data
BC	Box-Cox transformation, for stabilising the variance of the time series. The
	transformation parameter is optimised using all the available observations
	according to Guerrero [14] (minimizing the coefficient of variation)
SIN	Sine terms of order 1 of the Fourier series. This transformation captures
	the seasonality of the time series. We remark that the frequency of the
	time series must be available to compute these terms
COS	Similar and complementary to SIN, COS captures the cosine terms of
	order 1 of the Fourier series.
DWT	We apply a 1-level discrete wavelet transform using the Daubechies
	wavelet $[36]$, and retrieve the coefficients of the respective detail signal

Table 2: Summary operations used in VEST.

Operation	Description
MEAN	Arithmetic mean, which is used to estimate the average level of the vector
MDN	Median: similar to the mean, but more robust to outliers
SD	Standard deviation, as a measure of the overall dispersion in the vector
VAR	Variance of the vector, which also measures dispersion
IQR	Inter-quartile range, which is another measure of dispersion of the data,
	but more robust to outliers
RD	Relative Dispersion, which is estimated according to the ratio between
	the standard deviation of the vector and the standard deviation of the
	differenced vector [46]
MIN	Minimum value of the vector
MAX	Maximum value of the vector
LP	Last known point of the vector
SK	Skewness of the distribution of the vector, which is a measure of its asym-
	metry [46]
KRT	Kurtosis for describing the flatness of the data with respect to a normal
	distribution [46]
P05, P95	The 5th and 95th percentiles of the vector
ACC_1,	Average $(ACC 1)$ and standard deviation $(ACC 2)$ of the acceleration
ACC_2	of the vector estimated according to the ratio between the simple moving
	or the vector, estimated according to the ratio between the simple moving
	average and the exponential moving average of equal period. If our ex-
	severages was set to the
	squared root of the length of the vector, rounded to units

BP	Level of auto-correlation, which is estimated using a Box-Pierce test statis-
	tic $[4,46]$
PACF	Average value of the partial auto-correlation function of the vector up to
	10 lags
ACF	Average value of the auto-correlation function of the vector up to 10 lags
LRD1	Long wange dependence, estimated using the Hunst supercont environment
LRD2	Long-range dependence, estimated using the runst exponent approach
	with wavelet transform with 1 (LRD1) and 2 moments (LRD2) [46]
\mathbf{SLP}	Slope of the vector which describes its overall steepness $[39]$
NORM	Euclidean norm of the vector, which captures its total energy
NO	Number of outliers, estimated according to the number of observations
	above or below 1.5 times the inter-quartile range
AMP	Average amplitude of the fast Fourier transform of the vector
STEP	Binary random variable which denotes the presence of a step change [29].
	This statistic detects structural breaks in the data
PEAK_I,	Number of local maxima (\mathbf{PEAK} I) and local minima (\mathbf{PEAK} D) in the
PEAK_D	vector [29] These statistics describe the level of oscillation of the data
00	Occupil limiting of the sector set in the life sector of the data
OD	Overall direction of the vector, estimated by the difference between the
	number of times the vector increases and the number of times the vector
	decreases
PV_ST,	Short term and long term variability, respectively, estimated using the
PV_{LT}	Short-term and long-term variability, respectively, estimated using the
	Poincare plot [5]
MLE	Maximum Lyapunov exponent, which quantifies the chaotic level of a time
	series [46]

- Concerns:
 - Some features do not provide useful information for forecasting.
 - Features may be highly correlated with each other.
- Simple selection rules:
 - Remove features with a low number of unique values.
 - If two features are highly correlated, remove one of them.
- More complicated selection rules are available.

- **Data** for fitting: Many variants for combining $\{X\}_{i=1}^{n}$ series with feature-selected series $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$.
- Most successful strategy reported in (Cerqueira et. al. 2020) is AR+VEST: fit a vector AR to

$$\boldsymbol{U}_i = [\boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{Z}_i].$$

- Performance evaluation:
 - 90 different time series, each with at least 1,000 observations.
 - **Holdout**: 60% training, 20% validation (for parameter optimization), 20% testing.

VEST – Results



When you finish a course on time series analysis



Statisticians be like